INTERSECTION : LA PALMA AVENUE @ KRAEMER BOULEVARD EAST-WEST ST : LA PALMA AVENUE

NORTH-SOUTH ST: KRAEMER BOULEVARD

DESCRIPTION : 2010 PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE - AM PEAK HOUR

CAPACITY	E/W THRU LN: 1870 vph.	RT. TURN ON RED (cr) V	pc:	0
	N/S THRU LN: 1700 vph.	CYCLE LENGTH (secs.)	•	
	E/W LEFT LN: 1870 vph.	AMBER (% of cycle)	o •	5
	N/S LEFT LN: 1700 vph.	V/C ROUND OFF (decs.)	•	2

APPROACH	MVMT	LANES	VOLUME	CAPACITY	V/C	ICU AN	ALYSIS
SOUTHBOUND	RT	99 *	89	168300	0	N-S(1):	0.37
	\mathtt{TH}	3	1157	5100	0.23	N-S(2):	0.33
	${f LT}$	2	279	3400	0.08	E-W(1):	0.14
WESTBOUND	${f RT}$	99 *	108	185130	0	E-W(2):	0.22
	${f TH}$	3	646	5610	0.12		
	${f LT}$	2	347	3740	0.09	V/C:	0.59
NORTHBOUND	RT	99 *	1067	168300	0	AMBER:	0.05
	${f TH}$	3	1265	5100	0.25		with data with this same often same wints often
	${f LT}$	2	474	3400	0.14		
EASTBOUND	RT	99 *	206	185130	0	ICU:	0.64
	\mathbf{TH}	3	749	5610	0.13		
	LT	2	75	3740	0.02	Los:	В

^{* -} Free-flow lane.

INTERSECTION : LA PALMA AVENUE @ TUSTIN AVENUE
EAST-WEST ST : LA PALMA AVENUE
NORTH-SOUTH ST: TUSTIN AVENUE

DESCRIPTION : 2010 PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE - AM PEAK HOUR

CAPACITY	N/S THRU E/W LEFT	LN: 1700 LN: 1870	vph. vph.	RT. TURN ON RED (CYCLE LENGTH (Second Second Cycle V/C ROUND OFF (de	cs.) : e) : 5
APPROACH	MVMT	LANES	VOLUME	CAPACITY V/C	C ICU ANALYSIS
SOUTHBOUND	RT TH LT	1 2 2	204 1160 88	3400 0.34	3 N-S(1): 0.67 4 N-S(2): 0.56 5 E-W(1): 0.31
WESTBOUND	RT TH LT	99 * 3 2	1548		
NORTHBOUND	RT TH LT	1 2 2	922 1799 1107	1700 0.24 3400 0.53	AMBÉR: 0.05
EASTBOUND	RT TH LT	99 * 3 2	140 663 122	185130	ICU: 1.09

^{* -} Free-flow lane.

INTERSECTION : LA PALMA AVENUE @ KRAEMER BOULEVARD

EAST-WEST ST : LA PALMA AVENUE NORTH-SOUTH ST: KRAEMER BOULEVARD

DESCRIPTION : 2010 PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE - PM PEAK HOUR

CAPACITY	E/W THRU LN: 1870 vph.	RT. TURN ON RED (cr) V	pc: 0
	N/S THRU LN: 1700 vph.	CYCLE LENGTH (secs.)	•
	E/W LEFT LN: 1870 vph.	AMBER (% of cycle)	: 5
	N/S LEFT LN: 1700 vph.	V/C ROUND OFF (decs.)	: 2

						-	
MVMT	LAN	IES	VOLUME	CAPACITY	V/C	ICU AN	NALYSIS
RT	99	*	74	168300	0	N-S(1):	0.38
\mathtt{TH}	3		1588	5100	0.31	N-S(2):	0.36
LT	2		214	3400	0.06	• •	0.22
RT	99	*	280	185130	0	E-W(2):	0.37
\mathtt{TH}	3		1092	5610	0.19		
$\mathbf{L}\mathbf{T}$	2		914	3740	0.24	V/C:	0.75
RT	99	*	447	168300	0	AMBER:	0.05
\mathtt{TH}	3		1507	5100	0.3		
LT	2		225	3400	0.07		
RT	99	*	535	185130	0	ICU:	0.8
TH	3		715	5610	0.13		
_L T	2		102	3740	0.03	Los:	С
	RT TH LT RT TH LT RT TH LT	RT 99 TH 3 LT 2 RT 99 TH 3	RT 99 * TH 3 LT 2 RT 99 *	RT 99 * 74 TH 3 1588 LT 2 214 RT 99 * 280 TH 3 1092 LT 2 914 RT 99 * 447 TH 3 1507 LT 2 225 RT 99 * 535 TH 3 715	RT 99 * 74 168300 TH 3 1588 5100 LT 2 214 3400 RT 99 * 280 185130 TH 3 1092 5610 LT 2 914 3740 RT 99 * 447 168300 TH 3 1507 5100 LT 2 225 3400 RT 99 * 535 185130 TH 3 715 5610	RT 99 * 74 168300 0 TH 3 1588 5100 0.31 LT 2 214 3400 0.06 RT 99 * 280 185130 0 TH 3 1092 5610 0.19 LT 2 914 3740 0.24 RT 99 * 447 168300 0 TH 3 1507 5100 0.3 LT 2 225 3400 0.07 RT 99 * 535 185130 0 TH 3 715 5610 0.13	RT 99 * 74 168300 0 N-S(1): TH 3 1588 5100 0.31 N-S(2): LT 2 214 3400 0.06 E-W(1): RT 99 * 280 185130 0 E-W(2): TH 3 1092 5610 0.19 LT 2 914 3740 0.24 V/C: RT 99 * 447 168300 0 AMBER: TH 3 1507 5100 0.3 LT 2 225 3400 0.07 RT 99 * 535 185130 0 ICU: TH 3 715 5610 0.13

^{* -} Free-flow lane.

PROJECT TITLE: NORTHEAST ANAHEIM REDEVELOPMENT
INTERSECTION: LA PALMA AVENUE @ TUSTIN AVENUE
EAST-WEST ST: LA PALMA AVENUE
NORTH-SOUTH ST: TUSTIN AVENUE
DESCRIPTION: 2010 PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE - PM PEAK HOUR

CAPACITY	N/S THRU E/W LEFT	LN: 1870 LN: 1700 LN: 1870 LN: 1700	vph.		(secs (cle)	.) :	0 5 2
APPROACH	MVMT	LANES	VOLUME	CAPACITY	V/C	ICU ANAI	YSIS
SOUTHBOUND	RT TH	1 2	730 1591		0.33 0.47	, ,	0.61 0.5
WESTBOUND	LT RT	2 99 *	279 169	185130	0.08	E-W(1): E-W(2):	0.24 0.53
NORTHBOUND	TH LT RT	3 2 1	991 855 833	3740	0.18 · 0.23 0.22	V/C: AMBER:	1.14
	TH LT	2 2	1432 459	3400	0.42		
EASTBOUND	RT TH	99 * 3	976 1711	185130 5610	0.3	ICU:	1.19
	LT	2	210	3740	0.06	LOS:	F

^{* -} Free-flow lane.

NORTHEAST ANAHEIM REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT TITLE : INTERSECTION : LA PALMA AVENUE @ LAKEVIEW AVENUE

EAST-WEST ST : LA PALMA AVENUE NORTH-SOUTH ST: LAKEVIEW AVENUE

DESCRIPTION : 2010 REVISED ALTERNATIVE - AM PEAK HOUR

CAPACITY	,	LN: 1 LN: 1	1700 1870	vph.	RT. TURN O CYCLE LENG AMBER (% o V/C ROUND	TH (secs f cycle)	.) :	0 5 2
APPROACH	MVMT	LANE	ES	VOLUME	CAPACITY	V/C	ICU AN	ALYSIS
SOUTHBOUND	RT	99 *	k	127	168300	0	N-S(1):	0.46
	TH	3		1092	5100	0.21	N-S(2):	0.22
	${f LT}$	2		118	3400	0.03	E-W(1):	0.38
WESTBOUND	\mathtt{RT}	99 *	k	112	185130	0	E-W(2):	0.24
	TH	3		1991	5610	0.35		
	LT	2		502	3740	0.13	V/C:	0.84
NORTHBOUND	RT	99 🖠	*	817	168300	0	AMBER:	0.05
	$\mathbf{T}\mathbf{H}$	3		953	5100	0.19		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
	LT	2		862	3400	0.25		
EASTBOUND	\mathtt{RT}	99 4	<u>k</u>	204	185130	0	ICU:	0.89
	TH	3		606	5610	0.11		
	${f LT}$	2		121	3740	0.03	Los:	D

^{* -} Free-flow Lane.

PROJECT TITLE: NORTHEAST ANAHEIM REDEVELOPMENT INTERSECTION: LA PALMA AVENUE @ IMPERIAL HIGHWAY

INTERSECTION: LA PALMA AVENUE (EAST-WEST ST: LA PALMA AVENUE NORTH-SOUTH ST: IMPERIAL HIGHWAY

DESCRIPTION : 2010 REVISED ALTERNATIVE - AM PEAK HOUR

CAPACITY	E/W THRU LN:	: 1870 vph.	RT. TURN ON RED (cr) v	pc:	0
	N/S THRU LN	: 1700 vph.	CYCLE LENGTH (secs.)	- •	
	E/W LEFT LN	: 1870 vph.	AMBER (% of cycle)	:	5
	N/S LEFT LN	: 1700 vph.	V/C ROUND OFF (decs.)	•	2
~~~~~~~~~~~~					

APPROACH	MVMT	LANES	VOLUME	CAPACITY	V/C	ICU AN	ALYSIS
SOUTHBOUND	RT	1	413	1700	0.21	N-S(1):	0.62
	TH	4	1396	6800	0.21	N-S(2):	0.25
	LT	2	143	3400	0.04	E-W(1):	0.19
WESTBOUND	RT	1	405	1870	0.18	E-W(2):	0.12
	TH	3	929	5610	0.17		
	LT	2	369	3740	0.1	V/C:	0.81
NORTHBOUND	RT	0	155	0	0	AMBER:	0.05
	$\mathbf{T}\mathbf{H}$	4	1240	6800	0.21		
	LT	2	1403	3400	0.41		
EASTBOUND	RT	1	184	1870	0	ICU:	0.86
	\mathbf{TH}	3	134	5610	0.02		
	LT	2	56	3740	0.01	Los:	D

PROJECT TITLE: NORTHEAST ANAHEIM REDEVELOPMENT INTERSECTION : LA PALMA AVENUE @ LAKEVIEW AVENUE EAST-WEST ST : LA PALMA AVENUE

NORTH-SOUTH ST: LAKEVIEW AVENUE

DESCRIPTION : 2010 PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE - PM PEAK HOUR

CAPACITY	E/W THRU LN: 1870 vph.	RT. TURN ON RED (cr) vpc:	0
	N/S THRU LN: 1700 vph.	CYCLE LENGTH (secs.) :	
	E/W LEFT LN: 1870 vph.	AMBER (% of cycle) :	5
	N/S LEFT LN: 1700 vph.	V/C ROUND OFF (decs.) :	2

APPROACH	MVMT	LAN	IES	VOLUME	CAPACITY	V/C	ICU A	NALYSIS
SOUTHBOUND	RT	99	*	96	168300	0	N-S(1):	0.31
	\mathtt{TH}	3		1149	5100	0.23	N-S(2):	0.26
	${f LT}$	2		162	3400	0.05	E-W(1):	0.22
WESTBOUND	\mathtt{RT}	99	*	172	185130	0	E-W(2):	0.58
	\mathbf{TH}	3		831	5610	0.15		
	${f LT}$	2		841	3740	0.22	V/C:	0.89
NORTHBOUND	\mathtt{RT}	99	*	469	168300	0	AMBER:	0.05
	\mathtt{TH}	3		1063	5100	0.21	way come come come come come come come	
	${f LT}$	2		255	3400	0.08		
EASTBOUND	${f RT}$	99	*	855	185130	0	ICU:	0.94
	\mathtt{TH}	3		2010	5610	0.36		
	LT	2		251	3740	0.07	LOS:	E

^{* -} Free-flow Lane.

PROJECT TITLE: NORTHEAST ANAHEIM REDEVELOPMENT INTERSECTION: LA PALMA AVENUE @ IMPERIAL HIGHWAY

EAST-WEST ST : LA PALMA AVENUE NORTH-SOUTH ST: IMPERIAL HIGHWAY

DESCRIPTION : 2010 PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE - PM PEAK HOUR

CAPACITY	E/W I	THRU LN	: 1870 vp	h. RT. TURN ON RED (cr)	vpc:	0
	N/S I	THRU LN	: 1700 vp	h. CYCLE LENGTH (secs.)	•	
	E/W I	EFT LN	: 1870 vp	h. AMBER (% of cycle)	:	5
	N/S I	LEFT LN	: 1700 vp	h. V/C ROUND OFF (decs.)	:	2

APPROACH	MVMT	LANES	VOLUME	CAPACITY	V/C	ICU ANA	LYSIS
SOUTHBOUND	RT	1	117	1700	0	N-S(1):	0.31
	$\mathbf{T}\mathbf{H}$	4	1373	6800	0.2	N-S(2):	0.52
	${f LT}$	2	726	3400	0.21	E-W(1):	0.17
WESTBOUND	RT	1	357	1870	0	E-W(2):	0.54
	${f TH}$	3	319	5610	0.06		
	LT	2	262	3740	0.07	V/C:	1.06
NORTHBOUND	${f RT}$	0	785	0	0	AMBER:	0.05
	TH	4	1327	6800	0.31		
	${f LT}$	2	368	3400	0.11		
EASTBOUND	RT	1	1068	1870	0.47	ICU:	1.11
	TH	3	1359	5610	0.24		
	LT	2	395	3740	0.11	LOS:	F

INTERSECTION : LA PALMA AVENUE @ KRAEMER BOULEVARD EAST-WEST ST : LA PALMA AVENUE

NORTH-SOUTH ST: KRAEMER BOULEVARD

DESCRIPTION : 2010 REVISED ALTERNATIVE - AM PEAK HOUR

CAPACITY	N/S THRU E/W LEFT	LN: 1870 LN: 1700 LN: 1870 LN: 1700	vph.	RT. TURN C CYCLE LENC AMBER (% C V/C ROUND	GTH (secs of cycle)	.) :	0 5 2
APPROACH	MVMT	LANES	VOLUME	CAPACITY	V/C	ICU A	NALYSIS
SOUTHBOUND	RT TH LT	99 * 3 2	89 1152 279	168300 5100 3400	0 0.23 0.08	` ,	0.32
WESTBOUND	RT TH	99 * 3	108 640	185130 5610	0	E-W(2)	
NORTHBOUND	LT RT	2 99 *	347 1067	3740 168300	0.09	V/C	
	TH LT	3 2	1216 474	5100 3400	0.24 0.14	an an an an an an a	
EASTBOUND	RT	99 *	206	185130	0	ICU	0.64

714

75

5610

0.13

3740 0.02

Los: B

 \mathbf{TH}

LT

3

2

^{* -} Free-flow lane.

PROJECT TITLE: NORTHEAST ANAHEIM REDEVELOPMENT INTERSECTION: LA PALMA AVENUE @ TUSTIN AVENUE EAST-WEST ST: LA PALMA AVENUE NORTH-SOUTH ST: TUSTIN AVENUE

DESCRIPTION : 2010 REVISED ALTERNATIVE - AM PEAK HOUR

CAPACITY	N/S THRU E/W LEFT		vph.	RT. TURN ON RED CYCLE LENGTH (se AMBER (% of cycl V/C ROUND OFF (c	ecs.) : Le) :	0 5 2
APPROACH	MVMT	LANES	VOLUME	CAPACITY V	C ICU ANA	LYSIS
SOUTHBOUND	RT TH LT	1 2 2	202 1142 88	3400 0.3	08 N-S(1): 34 N-S(2): 03 E-W(1):	0.67 0.52 0.31
WESTBOUND	RT TH	99 * 3	240 1544		0 E-W(2): 28	0.36
NORTHBOUND	LT RT TH	2 1 2	936 922 1651		24 AMBÉR:	1.03 0.05
EASTBOUND	LT RT	2 99 *	1107 140	3400 0.3 185130	33 0 ICU:	1.08
	TH LT	3 2	638 122	5610 0.3 3740 0.6		F

^{* -} Free-flow lane.

PROJECT TITLE: NORTHEAST ANAHEIM REDEVELOPMENT INTERSECTION : LA PALMA AVENUE @ TUSTIN AVENUE EAST-WEST ST : LA PALMA AVENUE

NORTH-SOUTH ST: TUSTIN AVENUE

DESCRIPTION : 2010 REVISED ALTERNATIVE - AM PEAK HOUR - MITIGATED

CAPACITY	E/W T	THRU	LN:	1870	vph.	RT. TURN ON RED (cr) vpc: 0	
	N/S	THRU	LN:	1700	vph.	CYCLE LENGTH (secs.) :	
	E/W I	LEFT	LN:	1870	vph.	AMBER (% of cycle) : 5	,
	N/S 1	LEFT	LN:	1700	vph.	V/C ROUND OFF (decs.) : 2	
	-	-	• 4% tan ton to	سه روی سب وی دنده دنده	o estro esse dato esse esse esse es		

APPROACH	MVMT	LANES	VOLUME	CAPACITY	V/C	ICU AN	ALYSIS
	CAN COMP AND AND AND AND		000 case sine case case case case case case case cas				
SOUTHBOUND	RT	99 *	202	168300	0	N-S(1):	0.55
	TH	3	1142	5100	0.22	N-S(2):	0.35
	LT	2	88	3400	0.03	E-W(1):	0.31
WESTBOUND	\mathtt{RT}	99 *	240	185130	0	E-W(2):	0.36
	TH	3	1544	5610	0.28		
	LT	2	936	3740	0.25	V/C:	0.91
NORTHBOUND	RT	99 *	922	168300	0	AMBER:	0.05
	\mathbf{TH}	3	1651	5100	0.32		
	LT	2	1107	3400	0.33		
EASTBOUND	RT	99 *	140	185130	0	ICU:	0.96
	\mathtt{TH}	3	638	5610	0.11		
	LT	2	122	3740	0.03	LOS:	E
				سه مينه دوه مين دون وي سيد دون دوب دون		سه منه طبه سین سی سیه شده خینه دینه دی	

^{* -} Free-flow lane.

INTERSECTION : LA PALMA AVENUE @ IMPERIAL HIGHWAY EAST-WEST ST : LA PALMA AVENUE NORTH-SOUTH ST: IMPERIAL HIGHWAY

DESCRIPTION : 2010 REVISED ALTERNATIVE - AM PEAK HOUR - MITIGATED

CAPACITY	E/W	THRU	LN:	1870	vph.	RT. TURN ON RED (cr) vpc:	0
	N/S	THRU	LN:	1700	vph.	CYCLE LENGTH (secs.) :	
	E/W	LEFT	LN:	1870	vph.	AMBER (% of cycle) :	5
	N/S	LEFT	LN:	1700	vph.	V/C ROUND OFF (decs.) :	2

APPROACH	MVMT	LANES	VOLUME	CAPACITY	V/C	ICU ANA	LYSIS
SOUTHBOUND	RT		413	1700	0.21	N-S(1):	0.62
	${f TH}$	4	1396	6800	0.21	N-S(2):	0.25
	LT	2	143	3400	0.04	E-W(1):	0.19
WESTBOUND	RT	1	405	1870	0.18	E-W(2):	0.12
	$\mathbf{T}\mathbf{H}$	3	929	5610	0.17		
	${f LT}$	2	369	3740	0.1	V/C:	0.81
NORTHBOUND	${f RT}$	0	155	0	0	AMBER:	0.05
	$\mathbf{T}\mathbf{H}$	4	1240	6800	0.21		
	LT	2	1403	3400	0.41		
EASTBOUND	RT	99 *	184	185130	0	ICU:	0.86
	$\mathbf{T}\mathbf{H}$	3	134	5610	0.02		
	LT	2	56	3740	0.01	LOS:	D

^{* -} Free-flow lane.

LA PALMA AVENUE @ KRAEMER BOULEVARD

INTERSECTION : EAST-WEST ST : LA PALMA AVENUE KRAEMER BOULEVARD NORTH-SOUTH ST:

DESCRIPTION : 2010 REVISED ALTERNATIVE - PM PEAK HOUR

CAPACITY	E/W THRU LN: 1870 vph.	RT. TURN ON RED (cr) V	pc:	0
	N/S THRU LN: 1700 vph.	CYCLE LENGTH (secs.)	- •	
	E/W LEFT LN: 1870 vph.	AMBER (% of cycle)	4	5
	N/S LEFT LN: 1700 vph.	V/C ROUND OFF (decs.)	:	2

APPROACH	MVMT	LAN	IES	VOLUME	CAPACITY	V/C	ICU A	NALYSIS
SOUTHBOUND	RT	99	*	74	168300	0	N-S(1):	0.37
	TH	3		1542	5100	0.3	N-S(2):	
	${f LT}$	2		214	3400	0.06	E-W(1):	0.22
WESTBOUND	RT	99	*	280	185130	0	E-W(2):	0.37
	$\mathbf{T}\mathbf{H}$	3		1060	5610	0.19		
	${f LT}$	2		914	3740	0.24	V/C:	0.74
NORTHBOUND	\mathtt{RT}	99	*	447	168300	0	AMBER:	0.05
	\mathtt{TH}	3		1498	5100	0.29	~~~~~~	
	${f LT}$	2		225	3400	0.07		
EASTBOUND	RT	99	*	225	185130	0	ICU:	0.79
	\mathtt{TH}	3		710	5610	0.13		
	LT	2		102	3740	0.03	LOS	С

^{* -} Free-flow lane.

PROJECT TITLE: NORTHEAST ANAHEIM REDEVELOPMENT INTERSECTION: LA PALMA AVENUE @ TUSTIN AVENUE EAST-WEST ST: LA PALMA AVENUE NORTH-SOUTH ST: TUSTIN AVENUE

DESCRIPTION : 2010 REVISED ALTERNATIVE - PM PEAK HOUR

CAPACITY	N/S THRU E/W LEFT		vph. vph.	RT. TURN ON RED (CYCLE LENGTH (sec AMBER (% of cycle V/C ROUND OFF (de	:: :) :	0 5 2
APPROACH	MVMT	LANES	VOLUME	CAPACITY V/C	: ICU ANAL	YSIS
SOUTHBOUND	RT TH LT	1 2 2	721 1453 278	1700 0.33 3400 0.43 3400 0.08	N-S(2):	0.57 0.49 0.23
WESTBOUND	RT TH	99 * 3	169 968	185130 0 5610 0.17	E-W(2):	0.53
NORTHBOUND	LT RT TH	2 1 2	855 833 1404	3740 0.23 1700 0.22 3400 0.41	AMBER:	0.05
EASTBOUND	LT RT	2 99 *	459 976	3400 0.14 185130 0		1.15
	TH LT	3 2	1707 209	5610 0.3 3740 0.06		F

^{* -} Free-flow lane.

PROJECT TITLE: NORTHEAST ANAHEIM REDEVELOPMENT INTERSECTION: LA PALMA AVENUE @ LAKEVIEW AVENUE

INTERSECTION: LA PALMA AVENUE EAST-WEST ST: LA PALMA AVENUE NORTH-SOUTH ST: LAKEVIEW AVENUE

NORTH-SOUTH ST: LAKEVIEW AVENUE
DESCRIPTION : 2010 REVISED ALTERNATIVE - PM PEAK HOUR

CAPACITY	E/W THRU LN: 1870 vph.	RT. TURN ON RED (cr) vpc:	0
	N/S THRU LN: 1700 vph.	CYCLE LENGTH (secs.) :	
	E/W LEFT LN: 1870 vph.	AMBER (% of cycle) :	5
	N/S LEFT LN: 1700 vph.	V/C ROUND OFF (decs.) :	2

APPROACH	MVMT	LAI	IES	VOLUME	CAPACITY	V/C	ICU 2	ANALYSIS
SOUTHBOUND	RT	99	*	96	168300	0	N-S(1):	0.27
	TH	3		980	5100	0.19	N-S(2)	0.24
	LT	2		136	3400	0.04	E-W(1)	0.22
WESTBOUND	\mathtt{RT}	99	*	166	185130	0	E-W(2)	0.57
	$\mathbf{T}\mathbf{H}$	3		827	5610	0.15		
	LT	2		841	3740	0.22	V/C	0.84
NORTHBOUND	RT	99	*	569	168300	0	AMBER	0.05
	TH	3		1029	5100	0.2	eth em two em deb sim me e	
	LT	2		255	3400	0.08		
EASTBOUND	\mathtt{RT}	99	*	855	185130	0	ICU	0.89
	\mathtt{TH}	3		1987	5610	0.35		
	LT	2		251	3740	0.07	LOS	. D

^{* -} Free-flow Lane.

PROJECT TITLE: NORTHEAST ANAHEIM REDEVELOPMENT
INTERSECTION: LA PALMA AVENUE @ IMPERIAL HIGHWAY
EAST-WEST ST: LA PALMA AVENUE
NORTH-SOUTH ST: IMPERIAL HIGHWAY

DESCRIPTION : 2010 REVISED ALTERNATIVE - PM PEAK HOUR

CAPACITY	N/S THRU E/W LEFT	LN: 1870	vph.	RT. TURN ON RED (C CYCLE LENGTH (secs AMBER (% of cycle) V/C ROUND OFF (dec	s.) :	0 5 2
APPROACH	MVMT	LANES	VOLUME	CAPACITY V/C	ICU ANAL	YSIS
SOUTHBOUND	RT TH LT	1 4 2	117 1313 726	1700 0 6800 0.19 3400 0.21	N-S(1): N-S(2): E-W(1):	
WESTBOUND	RT TH LT	1 3 2	357 313 262	1870 0 5610 0.06	E-W(2):	0.53
NORTHBOUND	RT TH LT	0 4 2	785 1316 364	0 0 6800 0.31 3400 0.11	AMBER:	0.05
EASTBOUND	RT TH LT	1 3 2	1045 1333 395	1870 0.46 5610 0.24 3740 0.11	ICU: LOS:	1.1 F

PROJECT TITLE: NORTHEAST ANAHEIM REDEVELOPMENT INTERSECTION: LA PALMA AVENUE @ TUSTIN AVENUE

INTERSECTION: LA PALMA AVENUE EAST-WEST ST: LA PALMA AVENUE NORTH-SOUTH ST: TUSTIN AVENUE

DESCRIPTION : 2010 REVISED ALTERNATIVE - PM PEAK HOUR - MITIGATED

CAPACITY	E/W THRU LN: 187	0 vph. RT.	TURN ON RED (cr) VI	pc:	0
	N/S THRU LN: 170	0 vph. CYC	LE LENGTH (secs.)	•	
	E/W LEFT LN: 187	0 vph. AME	ER (% of cycle)	•	5
	N/S LEFT LN: 170	00 vph. V/C	ROUND OFF (decs.)	•	2
	-		•		

APPROACH	MVMT	LANE	s volume	CAPACITY	V/C	ICU AN	ALYSIS
SOUTHBOUND	RT	99 *	721	168300	0	N-S(1):	0.41
	TH	3	1453	5100	0.28	N-S(2):	0.36
	LT	2	278	3400	0.08	E-W(1):	0.23
WESTBOUND	RT	99 *	169	185130	0	E-W(2):	0.53
	$\mathbf{T}\mathbf{H}$	3	968	5610	0.17		
	${f LT}$	2	855	3740	0.23	V/C:	0.94
NORTHBOUND	\mathtt{RT}	99 *	833	168300	0	AMBER:	0.05
	\mathbf{TH}	3	1404	5100	0.28		
	${f LT}$	2	459	3400	0.13		
EASTBOUND	RT	99 *	976	185130	0	ICU:	0.99
	\mathbf{TH}	3	1707	5610	0.3		
	LT	2	209	3740	0.06	LOS:	E

^{* -} Free-flow lane.

PROJECT TITLE : NORTHEAST ANAHEIM REDEVELOPMENT INTERSECTION : LA PALMA AVENUE @ IMPERIAL HIGHWAY EAST-WEST ST : LA PALMA AVENUE

NORTH-SOUTH ST: IMPERIAL HIGHWAY

DESCRIPTION : 2010 REVISED ALTERNATIVE - PM PEAK HOUR - MITIGATED

CAPACITY	N/S THRU E/W LEFT	LN: 1870 LN: 1700 LN: 1870 LN: 1700	vph.	RT. TURN ON RED CYCLE LENGTH (see AMBER (% of cycle V/C ROUND OFF (de	cs.) : e) : 5
APPROACH	MVMT	LANES	VOLUME	CAPACITY V/	C ICU ANALYSIS
SOUTHBOUND	RT	1	117	1700	N-S(1): 0.3
	TH	4	1313	6800 0.1	
	LT	2	726	3400 0.2	• •
WESTBOUND	\mathtt{RT}	1	357	1870	0 E-W(2): 0.31
	TH	3	313	5610 0.0	6
	LT	2	262	3740 0.0	7 V/C: 0.83
NORTHBOUND	RT	0	785	Ο	0 AMBER: 0.05
	TH	4	1316	6800 0.3	
	${f LT}$	2	364	3400 0.1	1
EASTBOUND	\mathtt{RT}	99 *	1045	185130	O ICU: 0.88
	TH	3	1333	5610 0.2	4
	LT	2	395	3740 0.1	l Los: D

^{* -} Free-flow lane.

APPENDIX

TRAFFIC SYSTEMS MANAGEMENT ALONG LA PALMA AVENUE

As part of the on-going Traffic Management Systems program, the City of Anaheim is implementing system elements such as Changeable Message Signs (CMS), Highway Advisory Radio (HAR), Closed Circuit Television (CCTV) to control traffic, monitor traffic operations and provide information to travelers and guide motorists. With the establishment of these high technology information system, the operating conditions on various congested streets can be closely monitored during peak periods and various problem areas such as intersections can be managed effectively to avoid bottlenecks. These information systems will facilitate in the early detection of incidents and reduce the response time of patrol officers. In addition, drivers would be advised of accidents and any potential delays on the streets in advance and in real time so that they can choose alternative paths to reach their destination. In general, with various components of the above Intelligent Vehicle/Highway Systems (IVHS) in place, the operating conditions of the highways are expected to improve by better traffic management.

The City of Anaheim Traffic Management Center (TMC) is installing traffic management systems along SR-91/La Palma Avenue in the City of Anaheim¹. The following section presents a brief description of these systems and their potential locations along La Palma Avenue.

Trailblazer Changeable Message Signs (CMS)

Trailblazer CMS are designed to guide unfamiliar motorists through the surface street network and then to the freeway. These signs are a key element of the corridor management project due to their ability to balance demand and capacity among facilities in the corridor. These signs are designed to provide dynamic route guidance, in response to real time traffic conditions. The sign also provides alternate route identification.

In the Northeast area the trailblazers are proposed to be located at the intersections of La Palma Avenue and Kraemer Avenue, La Palma Avenue and Tustin Avenue, La Palma Avenue and Lakeview Avenue and La Palma Avenue and Imperial Highway. These signs would be placed within 100-250 feet of each intersection depending upon the constraints.

Highway Advisory Radio (HAR)

HAR in the SR-91/La Palma Avenue corridor can provide an effective means of communicating information to the motorists. This information can consist of messages related to street closures, exit and entrance locations, accidents, emergency conditions and alternate routes. The messages will be generated by a voice processor machine or a digital recorder and transmitted on a low power AM transmitter. HAR technology is already being implemented by the City of Anaheim through a transmitter at the Convention Center.

Closed Circuit Television (CCTV)

CCTV's are proposed at the intersections of La Palma Avenue and Kraemer Avenue and La Palma Avenue and Tustin Avenue in the Northeast area. System detectors are proposed at other major intersections along La Palma Avenue. The CCTV's provide TMC with visual images of the intersection operation in real time. This enables the TMC operating personnel to identify problem areas and modify signal operations to reduce delays.

Motorist Information and Route Diversion System for the State Route 91/La Palma Avenue Corridor, Draft Preliminary Design Report, JHK & Associates, December 1992.

Communications Network

The Communications Network provides a backbone for various elements such as CMS, HAR, system detectors and CCTV. A fiber optic cable network is proposed to connect these various systems with the City of Anaheim TMC located at the Broadway/Anaheim site.

BENEFITS

The information systems described above provide a variety of benefits with real time traffic signal operation to the motorists such as early warning, route guidance and higher operating speeds. These are achieved by a close monitoring of the intersections and arterial street operations through CCTV and system detectors and effectively communicating with the motorists and patrol officers through HAR and CMS and maximizing traffic signal operation based on real time operations. A study by JHK & Associates² has concluded that, with the improvements along La Palma Avenue corridor Traffic Systems Management project, the operating speeds on La Palma Avenue are expected to increase by approximately 13 percent. The increase in operating speeds along arterials is due to reduced delay at the intersections and midblock locations. Since, the majority of vehicular delay on arterials is experienced at intersections, the intersections are expected to benefit with maximum delay reductions due to the implementation of traffic systems management. To quantify the benefits of this traffic systems management project at major intersections along La Palma Avenue using Intersection Capacity Utilization (ICU) methodology, it can be assumed that the reduced intersection delay will result in a 10 percent enhancement in approach lane capacity. In other words, approach lanes on La Palma Avenue are assumed to have a capacity of 1,870 vehicles per hour compared to 1,700 vehicles per hour capacity for locations which do not have real time traffic systems management. Level of service analyses were performed at the intersections of La Palma Avenue and Kraemer Boulevard, La Palma Avenue and Tustin Avenue, La Palma Avenue and Lakeview Avenue and La Palma Avenue and Imperial Highway with the implementation of the La Palma Avenue Traffic Systems Management project.

Mr. Alistair Baillie June 29, 1993 Page 3

can be found on Table 11 of Traffic Impact Study for the Northeast Anaheim Redevelopment Area, February 19, 1993.

Morning peak-hour volumes for the Revised Preferred Alternative are presented in Figure 2. Evening peak-hour volumes for the Revised Preferred Alternative are presented in Figure 3. The lane configuration assumed for the capacity analyses for the Revised Preferred Alternative and the results thereof are presented in Figure 1 and Table 1, respectively.

Under this alternative, the intersection of Kraemer Boulevard and La Palma Avenue will operate acceptably at level of service B, with an ICU of 0.64 in the morning peak-hour period, and at level of service C, with an ICU of 0.79 in the evening peak-hour period.

The intersection of Lakeview Avenue - La Palma Avenue will operate at level of service D, with an ICU of 0.89 in the morning peak period, and level of service D, with an ICU of 0.89 in the evening peak-hour period. No "further mitigation" is required.

The intersection of Imperial Highway at La Palma will operate at level of service D with an ICU of 0.86 in the morning peak-hour period and at level of service F with an ICU of 1.10 in the evening peak-hour period. The intersection of Imperial Highway and La Palma is a Congestion Management Plan intersection. In order to achieve level of service D during both peak periods, the proposed Superstreet configuration with a free flow right-turn lane or dual right-turn lanes on the west approach (dual left turn lanes, three through lanes and an optional through or right-turn lane on the south approach; dual left-turn lanes, four through lanes and a separate right-turn lane on the north approach; dual left-turn lanes, three through lanes and a separate right turn lane on the east approach; and dual left-turn lanes, three through lanes and a free-flow right-turn lane or dual right-turn lanes on the west approach) is required. Under either configuration, the intersection is projected to operate at an ICU of 0.86 during the morning peak hour and at an ICU of 0.88 during the evening peak hour period.

The intersection of Tustin Avenue and La Palma Avenue will operate at level of service F, with an ICU of 1.08 in the morning peak-hour period, and at level of service F, with an ICU of 1.15 in the evening peak period. With the mitigation of this intersection to full critical intersection standards on the north and south approaches (that is, dual left-turn lanes, three through lanes and free flow right-turn lanes on the north, south and east approaches and dual left-turn lanes, three through lanes and two right-turn lanes on the west approach), this intersection is projected to operate at level of service E, with an ICU of 0.96 in the morning peak hour, and at level of service E, with an ICU of 0.99, in the evening peak hour period. The City of Anaheim accepts level of service E as the acceptable service level for intersections within a quarter of a mile of a commuter rail station/multimodal transportation center. Since the intersection of Tustin Avenue - La Palma Avenue will be within a quarter of a mile of a planned commuter rail station, no "further mitigation" is required at this intersection. Comparison with the preliminary results of the City's General Plan traffic study at this intersection confirms that the critical intersection standard, with two separate right-turn lanes on the west approach, will result in acceptable traffic conditions in year 2010.

CONCLUSIONS

Barton-Aschman performed traffic analyses for the Northeast Area Specific Plan assuming the Preferred Alternative land uses at buildout. The findings of these analyses are documented in *Traffic Impact Study for the Northeast Anaheim Redevelopment Area*, February 19, 1993. Since the preparation of this report, the Preferred

Mr. Alistair Baillie June 29, 1993 Page 4

Alternative has been modified to reflect less intense growth in Placentia, as presented in the Revised Preferred Alternative.

Due to this revision, less trips will be generated by the Specific Plan that indicated in the February traffic report. Many of the study intersections will be positively affected by this reduction in trip generation. Hence, the results of the February traffic report can be considered conservative in terms of the Revised Preferred Alternative, and present a "worst case" scenario in terms of traffic impacts.

Four study intersections in the February report were identified as requiring "further mitigation" beyond the assumed critical intersection or Superstreet project standard to achieve level of service D or better during both the morning and evening peak periods. These four intersections were reanalyzed assuming the Revised Preferred Alternative, free-flow right-turn lanes on critical intersection approaches, and enhanced lane capacity on La Palma due to the implementation of the real time signal operation. Based on this subsequent analysis, two intersections are projected to operate at level of service D or better during the morning and/or evening peak-hour periods without any "further mitigation". The intersection of La Palma - Imperial Highway will achieve level of service D in both peak hour periods with the conversion of the eastbound right-turn lane to a free-flow right-turn lane or with two eastbound right-turn lanes. This improvement can be incorporated in the Imperial Highway Superstreet Project.

With the improvements to the north and south approaches at Tustin Avenue - La Palma Avenue to full critical intersection standards, this intersection is projected to operate at level of service E during both the morning and evening peak hour periods. Since this intersection is within a quarter mile of a commuter rail/multimodal transit station, no "further mitigation" is required.

In conclusion, the improvements identified in the February 1993 traffic study, in combination with the improvements identified in this addendum report for the intersections of Imperial Highway - La Palma Avenue and Tustin Avenue - La Palma Avenue, will mitigate traffic impacts resulting from the proposed Revised Preferred Alternative land uses in the Northeast Anaheim Redevelopment Area Specific Plan.

If you have any questions or require additional information regarding the above, please give me a call at (714) 453-1619.

Sincerely,

BARTON-ASCHMAN ASSOCIATES, INC.,

S Hamiltan

Tijana Stojsic Hamilton, P.E.

Senior Associate

attachments

cc: Michael L. Welch, ARA (with attachments)

b:\addendm2.let

TABLE 1

NORTHEAST ANAHEIM REDEVELOPMENT AREA
REVISED INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSES RESULTS

AM PEAK HOUR	Prefe Alter	Pref	ised erred native	Revised Preferred Alternative Mitigated		
Intersection	ICU	LOS	ICU	LOS	ICU	LOS
La Palma-Kraemer	0.64	В	0.64	В		
La Palma-Tustin	1.09	F	1.08	F	0.96	E
La Palma-Lakeview	0.91	E	0.89	D		
La Palma-Imperial	0.87	D	0.86	D	0.86	D

PM PEAK HOUR	Preferred Alternative			ised erred native	Revised Preferred Alternative Mitigated	
Intersection	ICU	LOS	ICU	LOS	ICU	LOS
La Palma-Kraemer	0.80	С	0.79	С		
La Palma-Tustin	1.19	F	1.15	F	0.99	E
La Palma-Lakeview	0.94	Е	0.89	D		
La Palma-Imperial	1.11	F	1.10	F	0.88	D

TABLE 2

Northeast Area Specific Plan Land Use Analysis Overall - Modified

Zover with charter in allo contin

		 		· • · · ·							
- 1								<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
		 									
		-	<i>:</i> '		Ruilding	SqFtat	Ruild O				HATE B. W. 40
		<u> </u>	. ,		E- 1455 A 170 F	, 542 5 465					
· · ·		1	1.00 tur aut		,		-5444-100-100 parties				
tai(TAZ	Warehousing	Industry	Business Park	Corporate Headquarters	General Office	Retail	Residential	Public/Utility	Vacant	Totals
6	TOO .	-	•	٠			3,856	303,623			307,47
	T01	67.446	512,574	286,431	103,315		94,706				1,064,47
	T02	143,316	276,303	379,086			•				798,70
	T03	11,270	512,711	274,154			90,260			****	888,39
6	T04	4,376	177,899	194,80		24 246	13,809				390,89
*	T05	43,746	488,163 219,715	127,994	54,232	34,246	70,377	***************************************			764,52 975,60
	T07		376,748	300,034	·	10,927	11,932			the state of the s	399,60
<u>-</u>	T08	7,146	386,520	399,667		8,400	19,633				821,36
6	T09	11,708	481,771	167,669	118,332		73,425				852,90
	Tio	56,575	188,858	155,463	46,825		149,052				596,77
6	111	ļ <u></u>	248,349	311,914	302,190	171,014	102,145	73,810			1,469,42
6	T12	97,864	2,641.146	111,316	508,129	31,547	32,279				3,422,34
%	T13	 	18,699	639,857	220,017	364,017	38,200				1,335,05
···	715	1 .	297,857	34,193	40,035	10,028	30,200				128,49 337,89
%	TIG		44,945	304,970	172,572	172,572	63,916		<u> </u>		758,97
%	Ti7	1	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	1	143,922	143,922	31,983				319,82
2%	TIS		•				375,000		1		375,00
	T19	1 .							ĺ		
4	720					930,096	70,011				1,000.10
%	T21	1 . [106,400	79,800	79,800	29,555	<u> </u>	ļl		295,55
<u>%</u> %	T22 T23		79,610 124,115	33,496 19,200	129,244	6G,592	30.107 18,135				339,44 161,4:
%	T24	 -	63,409	43,314	64,971	86,628	29,673	ļ 			287,99
%	T25	21,699	121,517	1	1	04,48	15,913		 		159,13
%	T26		92,756	152,086	35,707	47,609	19,617	1			347,7
%	127	12,300	70,277	175,303	195,022	3,867	3,704		32,384		492.9
%	T28		86,772			32,390	130,964				250,1
	T29	İ				27,874		<u> </u>			27,8
97	T30 T31		105 400	899,402	90.442		16 400	111 699	ļ		899,4
% %	1132	\$1,284 57,490	127,598 407,653	56,079 198,603	80,443 197,234		16,709 46,320	111,633	1	*****	473,7 214,0
/* %	T33	12,115	276,048		136,306		145,090	1	1		711,6
)%	T34	6,204	010,6%				37,820	 		·	377.8
%	T35	67,000	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	267,620			13,884				1,472,9
%	T36	46,902	166,712	134,000	1,077,810	95,203	101,187				1,621,8
1%	737		124,832				189,625				1,667,1
1%	. T38	101,890	359,742				119,908		 	 	1,156,0
% 0%	T39	17,642	63,877			184,406 32,060	63,517 44,332			i	810,4 462,3
0% - 0%	T41	72,000	271,760 2,845				67,193			<u> </u>	653,8
2% ⁻	742	48,787	45,250			1	71,389				318,4
1%	T43		93,339		3,775		7,484		1	<u> </u>	106,7
1%	T44		32,625	56,131	168,392	168,392	43,657				469,1
0%	T45	, i		238,172	214,355	142,903	66,159				661,5
	Totals	1,042,854	9,578,00	7,804,816	7,326,528	2,996,610	2,810,371	493,766	32,385		32,087,3
	1	one T00 is a acw	1		1 .						